

Subject: Re: CPRA request (LAWA.2019.10.29.b)
From: [REDACTED]
Date: 5/18/20, 3:56 PM
To: "JAMISON, ANGELA M." <AJAMISON@lawa.org>

Thanks, Ms. Jamison.

I'm sorry if I'm being unclear. The CPRA explicitly requires LAWA to state the name(s) and title(s) of the person(s) responsible for deciding to apply exemptions.

The City Attorney's Office may have "advised" that these redactions be made but, as you say, they're LAWA's counsel. They don't control LAWA, they advise LAWA. They don't decide to redact, they say that redaction is allowed. They don't say that redaction is mandatory because the law explicitly says that redaction is not mandatory. Unless they're prepared to testify under oath as a witness about these redactions they're not the ones who decided to redact.

So can you please tell me the name(s) and title(s) of the person(s) who decided to redact? You should ask the City Attorney to advise you on this if I'm still not being clear. I'm not sure how else to explain the matter.

Also, what is the "original document"? That thing you sent me is a compilation of a bunch of documents. Did you not compile them? Because, like I said, when the document was compiled some pages were left out. You're stating that the missing pages are not exempt. Very well, then they have to be produced. How can we make this happen?

Thanks!

[REDACTED]

On Mon, May 18, 2020, at 3:50 PM, JAMISON, ANGELA M. wrote:

Hello,
We provided exactly what we have in the original document. Nothing was redacted except names. No pages were removed or moved.

The Airport Division of the City Attorney's Office is LAWA's counsel. It was that office that advised that we must redact names and other identifying information that identify persons having unescorted access to a secure area of an airport.
Angie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2020 12:02 PM